UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,586	04/26/2006	Oliver William Hardwicke Davies	DI5015USNP	3793
7590 12/03/2007 Johnson & Johnson			EXAMINER	
International Patent Law Division P.O. Box 1222 New Brunswick, NJ 08901			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110W Blanswick	, 110 00501		2863	
				• • • •
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			alt					
τ	i	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/577,586	DAVIES ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	_				
		Michael P. Nghiem	2863					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Extensions after \$ - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, r will apply and will expire SIX (6 , cause the application to become	IUNICATION.  may a reply be timely filed  by MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  make a mailing date of this communication.  make a mailing date of this communication.					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o							
Application	on Papers							
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)🖾 🖯	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2006</u> is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 4-26-06.	Pape 5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application er:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- claim 3, "a second current" (line 2) should be a first current --.
- claim 4, (line 3) the period and "(Eq 7c)" after the claimed equation should be deleted.

  Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 3, it is unclear how the steps in the body of the claim is used for reducing interferences in an electrochemical sensor.

Application/Control Number:

10/577,586

Art Unit: 2863

Page 3

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the

steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: steps that tie measuring first and

second current steps with the calculating a corrected current value.

The remaining claims are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for

being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Reasons For Allowance

The **combination** as claimed wherein a method for reducing interferences in an

electrochemical sensor comprising calculating a corrected current value representative

of a glucose concentration using a ratio of said covered area to said uncovered area of

said second working electrode (claims 1, 3) is not disclosed, suggested, or made

obvious by the prior art of record.

Conclusion

10/577,586 Art Unit: 2863

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Winarta et al. (US 6,287,451) disclose a method of reducing interferences in an electrochemical sensor (column 2, lines 35-39) comprising measuring a first current at a first working electrode (column 6, lines 25-27), said first working electrode being covered by a reagent layer (Abstract, lines 13-14) and measuring a second current at a second working electrode (column 6, lines 27-28). However, Winarta et al. does not disclose said reagent layer partially covers said second working electrode, said second working electrode having a covered area and an uncovered area. Thus, Winarta et al. does not disclose calculating a corrected current value representative of a glucose concentration using a ratio of said covered area to said uncovered area of said second working electrode.

## Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

10/577,586

Art Unit: 2863

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Nghiem

September 28, 2007